| Instrume 2014 New 168 Respondent Selector arguinization realide. Selector arguinization realide. for Services Software and/or Selector arguinization - 2027; (6) J7:6: (10) Law Ferm - 33 9: (2) J7:6: (3) Oppartation - 11: 91: Chi Moda/Research -5.3%: (4) Offwer - 42: 76: (7) J7:6: (10) Differ - 42: 76: (7) all business conditions for Busicos core when compared with business i: (47) Good - 47: 87: (6) J0: Bai - 13: 77: (2) Software and with business field Hetter - 44: 87: (7) i: (41) Better - 44: 87: (7) J0: Bai - 33: 75: (28) Si: (30) Same - 52: 4%: (8) i: (41) Better - 55: (8) i: (42) Higher - 55: (8) i: (31) Baines - 67: (7): (7) | Internet Bothurse and/or Service houster Bothurse and/or Service houster 3.0 fb/s (30) 7 Law Firm - 28.3 houston 10 Law Firm - 28.3 houston 10 Law Firm - 28.3 houston 10 Opparation - 10 #hu (12) 10 Opparation - 0 #hu (10) 11 Opparation - 0 #hu (10) 12 Sare (10) 13 Sare (10) 14 Sare (10) 15 Sare (10) 16 Sare (10) 17 Sare (10) 18 Sare (10) 19 Sare (10) 10 Sare (10)
 | Better - 40% (49) Bode - 40% (40) Consultancy - 40% (40) Recent - 40% (40) Bad - 40% (40) Better - 40% (40) Same - 40% (40) Worse - 4% (4) Worse - 4% (4) Higher - 52% (52)

 | Boring 2017 n = 104 Respondents Suffware smoler, Sanckes Suffware smoler, Sanckes howder - 44 2% (40) Law Firm - 30 8% (32) Consultancy - 14.4% (15) Consultancy - 14.4% (15) Operation - 4.9% (5) Media/Research Operation - 4.9% (5) Rood - 52.9% (5) Normal - 40.4% (42) Bade - 6.7% (7) Batter - 4.7.1% (40) Stema = 49% (51) Worse - 3.8% (4)
 | n = 101 Respondents
n = 101 Respondents
Software and/or Services
Provider - 30 4% (40)
Lee Firm - 30 4% (40)
Lee Firm - 34 4% (30)
Coporation - 3% (3)
Motion - 3% (44)
Better - 43.5% (44)
Better - 43.5% (50)
Worse - 6.5% (7) | Fail 2017 n = 100 Respondents Subsure and/or Services Subsure and/or Services Provider – 44% (44) Law Firm – 35% (35) Consultancy – 11% (11) Corporation – 4% (4) Media/Research Organization – 0% (6) Other – 55% (35) Consultance – 11% (11) Good – 55% (55) Sovermental Entity – 9% (3) Bad – 55% (55) Normal – 36% (20) Bad – 9% (9) Server – 45% (43) | n = 100 Perspondents
software and/or Services
Provider - 42% (42)
Law Film - 42% (42)
Law Film - 94% (34)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Governmental Entity -
1% (1)
Good - 59% (59)
Rowernmental Entity -
1% (1)
Better - 56% (59)
Better - 56% (59)
Better - 56% (59)
 | Spring 2018 n = 100 Respondents In = 100 Respondents Software and/or Services Software and/or Services Software 20% (30) Law Firm - 29% (30) Consultance - 9% (30) Consultance - 9% (30) Consultance - 9% (30) Comparation - 9% (0) Comparation - 9% (0) Other - 5% (2) Concentrate Enthy - 1% (1) Genodic - 66% (68) Normal - 25% (25) Bad - 7% (7) End - 7% (7) Better - 50% (50) Software - 5% (50) | Software and/or Services
Provider - 30 4% (28)
Law Fare - 30 4% (28)
Law Fare - 30 4% (28)
Consultancy - 22.%
(19)
Consultancy - 22.%
(19)
Consultancy - 22.%
(19)
Consultancy - 23.%
(19)
Consultancy - 25.%
(19)
Consultancy | Fail 2018
in = 85 Respondent
Software ansister Sa
Provider = 37 Pis (
Consultancy = 77 Pis (
Consultancy = 77 A
Consultancy = 77 A
Consulta |

--

--
--|--|---|--
--|--|--|
| у до с организато nesides.
50 Services Software and/or Softw | Ivers Surfacer and/or Service Vices Surfacer and/or Service Provider -33.9% (30) 7) Law Firm -33.9% (30) (10) Organization -10.9% (12) Idead firm -0.9% (11) -0.9% (11) Idead firm -0.9% (11) -0.9% (11) Other -4.4% (5) -0.9% (11) Other -6.4% (6) -0.9% (12) Bad -0.2% (12) -0.66.9% (14) Normal -0.2% (12) -0.66.9% (14) Bad -0.2% (17) Normal<-0.2% (17) Whore -0.3% (16) -0.9% (16) Status Deter -0.2% (17) Whore -0.3% (10) -0.9% (10)
 | Provider – 40% (40)
Law Firm – 33% (330
Consultancy – 16% (16)
Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Weasawich
Criganization – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Governmental Entity – 3%
(5)
Social – 49% (49)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 9% (69)
Same – 55% (50)
Same – 55% (50)
Worse – 4% (4)
Worse – 4% (4)

 | Provider - 4.2% (46)
Law Firm - 30.8% (32)
Consultancy - 14.4%
(5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.9% (5)
Other - 2.9% (3)
Good - 52.9% (5)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Stame - 49% (51)
 | Provder - 30.8% (40)
Law Firm - 34.9% (35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(36)
Consultancy - 3% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Good - 53.4% (54)
Normal - 41.6% (42)
Bad - 5% (5)
Bad - 5% (5) | Provder – 44% (4)
Law Firm – 39%, (5)
Consultancy – 11%, (11)
Carparation – 4% (4)
MedicalFesearch
Organization – 0% (6)
Other – 3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Beddar – 55%, (55)
Bad – 9% (9)
Beder – 56%, (55)
Same – 41% (41) | Provider – 62% (42)
Lare Firm – 34% (34)
Consultancy – 13% (13)
Corporation – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Other – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Roomai – 34% (34)
Bada – 7% (7)
Bada – 7% (5)
Bada – 5%, (56)
 | Provider - 30% (30)
Law Firm - 29% (39)
Consultancy - 33% (33)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Conter - 5% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Sector - 10% (2)
Bade - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Provider = 39.4% (28)
Law Firm = 28.8% (19)
Consultancy = 22.7%
(15)
Corporation = 4.5% (3)
Moder/Research
Organization = 0% (0)
Other = 1.5% (1)
Geoernmental Entity =
3% (2)
Geood = 53% (35)
Normal = 59.4% (28)
Bad = 7.6% (5) | Provider – 37.6%, C
Law Frm – 35.5%,
Consultancy – 17.6
(19)
Corporation – 1.2 (
Madica/Research
Optimization – 0%,
Other – 3.5%, (2)
Covernmental Entit
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4%, (33)
Namal – 34.1%, (2)
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| Shi (30) Provider - 32.7% (8) Law Farm - 33.9% (2) Law Farm - 33.9% (2) S 37% (4) Corporation - 11.9% S 37% (4) Organization - 0.6% (2) Other - 4.2% (7) I Entity - Growmental Entity 3% (6) 37% (4) Good - 42.5% (8) (4) Good - 42.5% (80) (5) Bade - 13.7% (52) Bade - 13.7% (52) Bade - 13.7% (53) (4) Bater - 44.6% (7) (4) Bater - 43.7% (7) (4) Bater - 43.7% (7) (5) Bad (4) Bater - 13.7% (52) (5) Bad (6) Same - 52.4% (80) (7) Wore - 3% (5) (8) Bade - 47.7% (70) (6) Bater - 63.7% (7) (6) Bater - 63.7% (7) (7) Bater - 63.7% (7) (8) Bater - 63.7% (7) (9) <td>Provider = 36.4% (8) Provider = 33.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Media/Fresser Media/Fresser Computation = 10.6% (82) Other = 4.4% (8) Exact-section Sourcements Ently = 5.4% (82) Sourcements Ently = 5.4% (82) Rand = 5.6.6% (84) Normal = 37.2% (42) Bad = 6.2% (7) Bad = 6.2% (7) Bate = 40.5% (56) Same = 40.5% (56) Same = 40.5% (56) Same = 5.4% (57) Worse = 5.3% (57) Same = 5.4% (56)</td> <td>Provider – 40% (40)
Law Firm – 33% (330
Consultancy – 16% (16)
Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Weasawich
Criganization – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Governmental Entity – 3%
(5)
Social – 49% (49)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 9% (69)
Same – 55% (50)
Same – 55% (50)
Worse – 4% (4)
Worse – 4% (4)</td> <td>Provider - 4.2% (46)
Law Firm - 30.8% (32)
Consultancy - 14.4%
(5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.9% (5)
Other - 2.9% (3)
Good - 52.9% (5)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Stame - 49% (51)</td> <td>Provder - 30.8% (40)
Law Firm - 34.9% (35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(36)
Consultancy - 3% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Good - 53.4% (54)
Normal - 41.6% (42)
Bad - 5% (5)
Bad - 5% (5)</td> <td>Provder – 44% (4)
Law Firm – 39%, (5)
Consultancy – 11%, (11)
Carparation – 4% (4)
MedicalFesearch
Organization – 0% (6)
Other – 3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Beddar – 55%, (55)
Bad – 9% (9)
Beder – 56%, (55)
Same – 41% (41)</td> <td>Provider – 62% (42)
Lare Firm – 34% (34)
Consultancy – 13% (13)
Corporation – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Other – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Roomai – 34% (34)
Bada – 7% (7)
Bada – 7% (5)
Bada – 5%, (56)</td> <td>Provider - 30% (30)
Law Firm - 29% (39)
Consultancy - 33% (33)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Conter - 5% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Sector - 10% (2)
Bade - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50)</td> <td>Provider = 39.4% (28)
Law Firm = 28.8% (19)
Consultancy = 22.7%
(15)
Corporation = 4.5% (3)
Moder/Research
Organization = 0% (0)
Other = 1.5% (1)
Geoernmental Entity =
3% (2)
Geood = 53% (35)
Normal = 59.4% (28)
Bad = 7.6% (5)</td> <td>Provider – 37.6%, C
Law Frm – 35.5%,
Consultancy – 17.6
(19)
Corporation – 1.2 (
Madica/Research
Optimization – 0%,
Other – 3.5%, (2)
Covernmental Entit
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4%, (33)
Namal – 34.1%, (2)
Bad – 3.5% (3)</td> | Provider = 36.4% (8) Provider = 33.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Computation = 10.6% (82) Media/Fresser Media/Fresser Computation = 10.6% (82) Other = 4.4% (8) Exact-section Sourcements Ently = 5.4% (82) Sourcements Ently = 5.4% (82) Rand = 5.6.6% (84) Normal = 37.2% (42) Bad = 6.2% (7) Bad = 6.2% (7) Bate = 40.5% (56) Same = 40.5% (56) Same = 40.5% (56) Same = 5.4% (57) Worse = 5.3% (57) Same = 5.4% (56)
 | Provider – 40% (40)
Law Firm – 33% (330
Consultancy – 16% (16)
Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Weasawich
Criganization – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Governmental Entity – 3%
(5)
Social – 49% (49)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 9% (69)
Same – 55% (50)
Same – 55% (50)
Worse – 4% (4)
Worse – 4% (4)

 | Provider - 4.2% (46)
Law Firm - 30.8% (32)
Consultancy - 14.4%
(5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Corporation - 4.9% (5)
Other - 2.9% (3)
Good - 52.9% (5)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Badter - 4.7 1% (42)
Stame - 49% (51)
 | Provder - 30.8% (40)
Law Firm - 34.9% (35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(35)
Consultancy - 16.8%
(36)
Consultancy - 3% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Other - 5% (3)
Good - 53.4% (54)
Normal - 41.6% (42)
Bad - 5% (5)
Bad - 5% (5) | Provder – 44% (4)
Law Firm – 39%, (5)
Consultancy – 11%, (11)
Carparation – 4% (4)
MedicalFesearch
Organization – 0% (6)
Other – 3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Beddar – 55%, (55)
Bad – 9% (9)
Beder – 56%, (55)
Same – 41% (41)
 | Provider – 62% (42)
Lare Firm – 34% (34)
Consultancy – 13% (13)
Corporation – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Other – 5% (5)
Consensation – 5% (6)
Roomai – 34% (34)
Bada – 7% (7)
Bada – 7% (5)
Bada – 5%, (56) | Provider - 30% (30)
Law Firm - 29% (39)
Consultancy - 33% (33)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Conter - 5% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Conter - 10% (2)
Sector - 10% (2)
Bade - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Provider = 39.4% (28)
Law Firm = 28.8% (19)
Consultancy = 22.7%
(15)
Corporation = 4.5% (3)
Moder/Research
Organization = 0% (0)
Other = 1.5% (1)
Geoernmental Entity =
3% (2)
Geood = 53% (35)
Normal = 59.4% (28)
Bad = 7.6% (5) | Provider – 37.6%, C
Law Frm – 35.5%,
Consultancy – 17.6
(19)
Corporation – 1.2 (
Madica/Research
Optimization – 0%,
Other – 3.5%, (2)
Covernmental Entit
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4%, (33)
Namal – 34.1%, (2)
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| 3.7% (18) Law Fern – 33.9% (f) 1.2% (18) Law Fern – 33.9% (f) 5.2.4% Constitution – 1.1.7% 5.5% (4) Corporation – 1.1.9% 5.5% (4) Corporation – 1.1.9% (2) Other – 1.1.9% (2) Other – 4.2% (7) (2) Deter – 4.0% (7) (3) Bata – 3.2% (6) (4) Hegner – 50% (8) (4) Hegner – 50% (8) (4) Hegner – 60% (8) (4) Hegner – 61% (12) (7) Lower – 8.3% (14) (8) Other – 8.3% (14) (9) Sare – 4.17% (70) | 7) Law Firm - 28.3% (22) 7) Law Firm - 28.3% (22) 8) Consultancy - 16.8% (10) 8) Consultancy - 16.8% (10) 8) Consultancy - 10.6% (12) 10) Oparatization - 0.9% (1) 0) Oparatization - 0.9% (1) way in your segment? expectations. Good - 56.6% (64) Normal - 37.2% (42) Bad - 6.3% (7) Same - 45.% (5) Worse - 5.3% (0) Same - 65.% (7) Same - 93.5% (67) Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Law Film - 33% (330
Consultancy - 16% (16)
Corporation - 4% (4)
Media/Freesarch
Organization - 2% (2)
Other - 2% (2)
Consentation -

 | Law Frm - 30.8% (32)
Consultancy - 14.4%
(59)
Corporation - 4.8% (5)
Media/Fessarch
Organization - 1.9% (2)
Other - 2.9% (3)
Covernmental Entity
1% (1)
Good - 52.9% (55)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bidd - 6.7% (7)
Detter - 4.7,1% (40)
Same - 45% (51)
 | Law Frm - 34.5% (S5)
Consumption - 16.8%
(Crynalization - 3% (3)
MediaFesterich
Corporation - 3% (3)
MediaFesterich
Corporation - 3% (3)
Other - 1% (1)
Covernmental Ently
2% (2)
Covernmental Ently
2% (2)
Covernmental Ently
2% (3)
Covernmental Ently
Covernmental Ently
2% (3)
Covernmental Ently
2% (3)
Covernmental Ently
Covernmental Ently
Cove | Law Firm - 35% (85)
Consultancy - 11% (11)
Corporation - 4% (4)
MediaFreesearch
Organization - 0% (6)
Other - 3% (3)
Covernmental Ently -
3% (3)
Good - 55% (55)
Normal - 36% (36)
Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 55% (55)
Same - 41% (41) | Lere Firm - 34% (34)
Consultancy - 19% (13)
Corporation - 5% (5)
Media 476esarch
Organization - 0% (0)
Glovernmertal Entity -
1% (1)
Good - 59% (59)
Normal - 34% (34)
Bad - 7% (7)
Beter - 59% (59) | Law Frm – 25% (29)
Consultancy – 35% (33)
Corporation – 5% (5)
Media/Research
Organization – 0% (0)
Geovernmental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 68% (68)
Normal – 25% (2)
Bad – 7% (7)
Better – 50% (50)
 | Lev Frm - 28.8% (19)
Consultancy - 22.7%
(13)
Comparation - 4.5% (3)
Medica/Research
(regaritization - 0% (c)
Other - 1.5% ()
Coher - 1.5% (c)
Coher - 1.5% (c) | Law Firm - 35.3%
Consultancy - 17.6
(19)
Corporation - 12.(
Media/Research
Cryanization - 0%
Other - 3.5% (3)
Other - 3.5% (4)
Good - 62.4% (53)
Normal - 34.1% (2)
Bad - 3.5% (3) |
| [20] [21] [22] [22] [23] [24] [24] [25] [25] [26] [26] [26] [27] [26] [28] [26] [29] [26] [20] [26] [27] [26] [28] [26] [27] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [26] [27] [26] [28] [26] [29] [20] [20] [26] [20] [26] [20] [26] [20] [26] [20] [26] [20] <td>(19) (19) (20) Coporation - 10.5% (12) (20) Coporation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (11) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (12) Good - 40.5 (0) (12) Normal - 57.5% (42) (13) Bad - 6.2% (7) (14) Bad - 6.2% (7) (15) Same - 43.5% (15) Wrase - 3.7% (15) Wrase - 3.7% (15) (14) Higher - 59.3% (15) (15) Same - 43.5% (15)</td> <td>Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Risearch
Cryanization – 2% (2)
Offer – 2% (2)
Goord – 49% (40)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 6% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 4% (4)</td> <td>(15)
Corporation – 4.8% (5)
Media/Research
Organization – 1.9% (2)
Other – 2.9% (3)
Covernmental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 52.9% (55)
Normal – 40.4% (42)
Bad – 6.7% (7)
Better – 47,1% (40)
Same – 49% (51)</td> <td>(17)
Corporation – 3% (3)
Media/Research
Organization – 3% (3)
Other – 1% (1)
Courringental Entity –
2% (2)
Good – 53.4% (54)
Normal – 41.6% (42)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5)</td> <td>Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Research
Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 3% (3)
Countermark Ently –
3% (3)
Good – 55% (55)
Normal – 36% (35)
Bad – 6% (6)
Bade – 6% (55)
Same – 41% (41)</td> <td>Corporation – 5% (5)
Media/Research
Crystration – 0% (0)
Other – 5% (5)
Good – 59% (5)
Good – 59% (6)
Narmal – 34% (34)
Bad – 7% (7)
Beter – 56% (56)</td> <td>Corporation – 5% (5)
Media/Research
Cryanization – 0% (0)
Other – 2% (2)
Good – 66% (68)
Normal – 2% (2)
Bid – 7% (7)
Better – 50% (50)</td> <td>(15)
Corporation – 4.5% (3)
Media/Research
Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 1.5% (1)
Covernmental Entity –
3% (2)
Good – 53% (33)
Normal – 38.4% (26)
Bad – 7.8% (5)</td> <td>(15)
Corporation – 1.2
Modia/Pisesarch
Organization – 0%.
Other – 3.5% (3)
Governmental Ent
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4% (53)
Normal – 34.1% (3)
Bad – 3.5% (3)</td> | (19) (19) (20) Coporation - 10.5% (12) (20) Coporation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (10) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (11) Opparation - 10.5% (12) (12) Good - 40.5 (0) (12) Normal - 57.5% (42) (13) Bad - 6.2% (7) (14) Bad - 6.2% (7) (15) Same - 43.5% (15) Wrase - 3.7% (15) Wrase - 3.7% (15) (14) Higher - 59.3% (15) (15) Same - 43.5% (15)
 | Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Risearch
Cryanization – 2% (2)
Offer – 2% (2)
Goord – 49% (40)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 6% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 4% (4)

 | (15)
Corporation – 4.8% (5)
Media/Research
Organization – 1.9% (2)
Other – 2.9% (3)
Covernmental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 52.9% (55)
Normal – 40.4% (42)
Bad – 6.7% (7)
Better – 47,1% (40)
Same – 49% (51)
 | (17)
Corporation – 3% (3)
Media/Research
Organization – 3% (3)
Other – 1% (1)
Courringental Entity –
2% (2)
Good – 53.4% (54)
Normal – 41.6% (42)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5) | Corporation – 4% (4)
Media/Research
Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 3% (3)
Countermark Ently –
3% (3)
Good – 55% (55)
Normal – 36% (35)
Bad – 6% (6)
Bade – 6% (55)
Same – 41% (41)
 | Corporation – 5% (5)
Media/Research
Crystration – 0% (0)
Other – 5% (5)
Good – 59% (5)
Good – 59% (6)
Narmal – 34% (34)
Bad – 7% (7)
Beter – 56% (56) | Corporation – 5% (5)
Media/Research
Cryanization – 0% (0)
Other – 2% (2)
Good – 66% (68)
Normal – 2% (2)
Bid – 7% (7)
Better – 50% (50) | (15)
Corporation – 4.5% (3)
Media/Research
Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 1.5% (1)
Covernmental Entity –
3% (2)
Good – 53% (33)
Normal – 38.4% (26)
Bad – 7.8% (5) | (15)
Corporation – 1.2
Modia/Pisesarch
Organization – 0%.
Other – 3.5% (3)
Governmental Ent
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4% (53)
Normal – 34.1% (3)
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| ch Meda/Research
Organization - 0.9%
(2) Meda/Research
Organization - 0.9%
(2) (2) Other - 4.2% (7) Other - 4.2% (7) (3) Other - 4.2% (7) Other - 4.2% (7) (4) Stress (6) Normal - 28, 7% (2) (47) Good - 47.5% (0) Normal - 38, 7% (2) (47) Good - 47.5% (0) Normal - 38, 7% (2) (48) Bad - 13, 7% (2) Normal - 38, 7% (2) (41) Better - 44.6% (7) Stress - 52.4% (8) (2) Worse - 9% (5) Stress activities activititis activities activititis activities activities activiti | Module Research (1) Organization = 0.9% (1) Organization = 0.9% (1) Organization = 0.9% (1) Other = 4.4% (5) Edited = 4.5% (7) State 5.3% (6) Morrial = 57.5% (42) Edited = 6.5% (7) Bad = 6.2% (7) edited = 6.5% (7) Edited = 46.5% (56) Same = 46.5% (50) Same = 46.5% (50) Same = 6.5% (7) Worse = 5.3% (6) Same = 3.5% (67) Higher = 50.3% (57) Same = 36.4% (40)
 | Media/Research
Crigarization – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Conventiental Entity –
3% (3)
Romai – 42% (42)
Bad – 9% (8)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (40)
Worke – 4% (4)

 | Meda/Research
Organization – 1.9% (2)
Other – 2.9% (3)
Other – 2.9% (3)
Courremental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 52.9% (56)
Normal – 40.4% (42)
Bad – 6.7% (7)
Bade – 6.7% (7)
Same – 49% (51)
 | Media/Research
Organization – 3% (3)
Other – 1% (1)
Countringental Entity –
2% (2)
Good – 53.4% (54)
Normal – 41.6% (54)
Normal – 41.6% (54)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5) | Media-Fleesarch
Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 3% (3)
Covernmental Entity –
3% (3)
Rocad – 56% (55)
Normal – 36% (36)
Bad – 9% (6)
Bader – 56% (55)
Same – 41% (41) | Media/Research
Crogenization – 0% (0)
Other – 5% (5)
Good – 69% (5)
Good – 69% (6)
Narmal – 24% (34)
Bad – 7% (7)
Bader – 56% (56)
 | Media/Research
Cryanization – 0% (0)
Other – 24% (2)
Goodr – 05% (2)
Goodr – 05% (6)
Normal – 25% (25)
Bidd – 7% (7)
Better – 55% (20) | Media/Research
Crganization – 0% (0)
Other – 15% (1)
Governmental Entity –
% (2)
Good – 53% (35)
Normal – 39.4% (26)
Bad – 7.6% (0) | Madius/Research
Organization – 0%
Other – 3.5% (3)
Governmental Ent
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4% (53
Normal – 34.1% (3)
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| 5.3% (4) Organization - 0.0% (2) Other - 4.2% (7) (2) Other - 4.2% (7) (3) Same - 4.2% (7) (3) Same - 4.2% (7) (3) Same - 4.2% (7) (4) Ocean - 4.2% (7) (5) Same - 4.2% (7) (4) Ocean - 4.2% (7) (5) Same - 4.3% (8) (4) Ocean - 4.2% (7) (5) Same - 3.3% (8) (4) Ocean - 4.2% (7) (5) Gaod - 47.6% (8) (6) Same - 52.4% (8) (7) Ocean - 53.4% (8) (2) Wore - 3% (5) (2) Same - 52.4% (8) (2) Wore - 3% (5) (3) Same - 43.7% (7) (4) Higher - 67% (8) (6) Same - 4.3% (14) | (1) Organization - 0.9% (1) Other - 4.% (5) - - S.3% (6) - S.3% (7) separation Separation wy by load segment? sequential Entity - 1 sequential constraints Good - 58.8% (64) Normal - 37.2% (42) Bad - 6.2% (7) Bad - 6.2% (7) Same - 45.1% (51) Works - 5.3% (6) Same - 45.1% (51) Works - 5.3% (7) Same - 5.3% (7) Same - 5.3% (57) Same - 53.4% (40)
 | Crganization – 2% (2)
Other – 2% (2)
Governmental Entity –
3% (3)
Good – 49% (49)
Normal – 42% (42)
Bad – 9% (8)
Better – 40% (40)
Better – 40% (4)
Worse – 4% (4)

 | Organization - 1.9% (2)
Other - 2.9% (3)
Governmental Entity -
1% (1)
Good - 52.9% (55)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Batter - 47.1% (49)
Same - 49% (51)
 | Organization – 3% (3)
Other – 1% (1)
Governmental Entity –
2% (2)
Good – 53.4% (54)
Normal – 41.6% (42)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5)
Bad – 5% (5) | Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 7% (3)
Governmental Entity –
% (1)
Good – 55% (55)
Normal – 30% (36)
Bad – 9% (0)
Bades – 56% (55)
Same – 41% (41)
 | Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 5% (5)
Governmental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 59% (50)
Normal – 34% (34)
Bad – 7% (7)
Beter – 59% (50) | Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 2% (2)
Governmental Entity –
1% (1)
Good – 68% (88)
Normal – 25% (22)
Bad – 7% (7)
Better – 50% (50) | Organization – 0% (0)
Other – 1.5% (1)
Governmental Entity –
3% (2)
Groot – 53% (35)
Normal – 39.4% (26)
Bad – 7.8% (5) | Organization – 0%
Other – 3.5% (3)
Governmental Ent
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4% (53
Normal – 34.1% ()
Bød – 3.5% (3) |
| ETEP Governmental Entity
3% (6) al business conditions for aDisconces
to the compared with business
(47) Good - 47.6% (60) (47) Good - 47.6% (60) (26) Normal - 38.7% (20) (30) Bad - 13.7% (20) (41) Better - 44.6% (75) (43) Same - 52.4% (80) (20) Worse - 9% (5) (41) Better - 44.6% (75) (42) Worse - 9% (5) (43) Same - 52.4% (80) (20) Worse - 9% (5) (44) Higher - 50% (84) (5) Same - 41.7% (70) (20) Same - 41.7% (70) (21) Lower - 8.3% (14) (22) Lower - 8.3% (14) | - Governmental Entity - 5.% (6) style segment? expectations. - Good - 50.6% (64) Normal - 37.2% (42) Bad - 6.2% (7) expectations. - Bad - 6.2% (7) Worse - 5.3% (56) Same - 63.5% (56) Verse - 5.3% (57) Higher - 59.5% (57) Same - 35.4% (60)
 | Governmental Entity -
3% (3)
Good - 40% (49)
Normal - 42% (42)
Bad - 6% (4)
Bad - 6% (4)
Bater - 40% (40)
Same - 55% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)

 | Governmental Ertity -
1% (1)
Good - 52 5% (55)
Normal - 40 4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Better - 47, 1% (40)
Same - 49% (51)
 | Governmental Ently -
2% (2)
Good - 53 4% (54)
Normal - 41 5% (54)
Bad - 5% (5)
Better - 43 5% (54)
Same - 49 5% (50) | Governmental Entity -
3% (3)
Good - 55% (55)
Normal - 35% (36)
Bad - 9% (8)
Bater - 55% (35)
Same - 41% (41)
 | Governmerza Entity -
1% (1)
Good - 59% (59)
Normal - 34% (34)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 56% (56) | Governmental Entity -
1% (1)
Good - 68% (68)
Normal - 29% (25)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Governmental Entity -
3% (2)
Good - 53% (35)
Normal - 39.4% (26)
Bad - 7.8% (5) | Governmental Ent
4.7% (4)
Good – 62.4% (53
Normal – 34.1% (3)
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| al busines conditions for eDiccord
condent compared with business
(condent compared with business
(cond | wy in your segment? expectations Good - 56.8% (64) Normal - 37.2% (42) Bad - 6.2% (7) wetter born nov? expectations Beder - 63.% (51) Worse - 6.3% (51) Worse - 6.3% (67) Higher - 99.5% (67) Same - 93.4% (40)
 | Cood - 49% (49)
Normal - 42% (42)
Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 40% (40)
Same - 5% (60)
Worse - 4% (4)
Worse - 4% (4)

 | Good - 52.9% (55)
Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Better - 47,1% (40)
Same - 49% (51)
 | Good - 53.4% (54)
Normal - 41.6% (42)
Bad - 5% (5)
Better - 43.6% (44)
Barter - 43.5% (50) | Good - 55% (55)
Normal - 36% (36)
Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 55% (35)
Same - 41% (41) | Good - 59% (59)
Normal - 34% (34)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 56% (56)
 | Good - 08% (68)
Normal - 29% (25)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Good - 53% (35)
Normal - 39.4% (26)
Bad - 7.6% (5) | Good - 62.4% (52
Normal - 34.1% (
Bad - 3.5% (3) |
| Lease when compared with business Lease when compared with business Lease with the second | espectations espectations constraints cons
 | Normal - 42% (42)
Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 40% (40)
Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)
 | Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Better - 47.1% (49)
Same - 49% (51)

 | Normal – 41.6% (42)
Bad – 5% (5)
Better – 43.6% (44)
Same – 49.5% (50) | Normal – 38% (36)
Bad – 9% (9)
Better – 55% (55)
Same – 41% (41) | Normal - 34% (34)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 56% (56) | Normal - 25% (25)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50)
 | Normal - 39.4% (26)
Bad - 7.6% (5) | Normal – 34.1% (
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| 2% 260 Normal - 38.7% (50) 30 8xt - 13.7% (20) 40 8xt - 13.7% (20) 40 4xt - 14.7% (20) 40 4xt - 14.6% (7) 40 4xt - 25.4% (80) 40 4xt - 25.4% (80) 40 4xt - 25.6% (5) 40 4xt - 25.5% (5) 40 4xt - 25.5% (5) 40 4xt - 25.5% (5) 40 5xt - 25% (14) 40 5xt - 41.7% (70) (20) Lower - 8.3% (14) 4xt - 25.0% (14) 4xt - 25.0% (25.9%) 4xt - 25.0% (14) 4xt - 25.0% (14) | Normal - 57.2%; (42) Bad - 6.2%; (7) state from nov? sepsectations: Batrie - 40.0%; (56) Same - 60.5%; (57) Worse - 5.3%; (67) Hegher - 59.3%; (67) Same - 59.4%; (56)
 | Normal - 42% (42)
Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 40% (40)
Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)

 | Normal - 40.4% (42)
Bad - 6.7% (7)
Better - 47.1% (49)
Same - 49% (51)
 | Normal – 41.6% (42)
Bad – 5% (5)
Better – 43.6% (44)
Same – 49.5% (50) | Normal – 38% (36)
Bad – 9% (9)
Better – 55% (55)
Same – 41% (41)
 | Normal - 34% (34)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 56% (56) | Normal - 25% (25)
Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Normal - 39.4% (26)
Bad - 7.6% (5) | Normal – 34.1% (
Bad – 3.5% (3) |
| a) Bad – 13.7% (25) and – 13.7% (25) and – 13.7% (25) and will be tryour agriment bit m bit variances bit (41) Better – 44.6% (75) bit (42) Same – 52.4% (88) (20) Worse – 9% (76) (21) Worse – 9% (84) bit (42) Higher – 50% (84) bit (43) Same – 51% (74) bit (43) Same – 51% (74) bit (43) Same – 50% (84) bit (44) Same – 51% (74) bit (45) Same – 41.7% (70) (20) Lower – 83% (14) aggment of the achieveny accospt accospt scooper | Bad = 6.2% (7) Bad = 6.2% (7) anths from nov? aspectations. Better = 49.4% (56) Same = 45.1% (51) Worse = 5.3% (6) Higher = 59.3% (57) Same = 55.4% (57) Same = 55.4% (50)
 | Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 40% (40)
Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)
ow?

 | Bad - 6.7% (7)
Better - 47.1% (49)
Same - 49% (51)
 | Bad - 5% (5)
Better - 43.6% (44)
Same - 49.5% (50) | Bad - 9% (9)
Better - 55% (55)
Same - 41% (41) | Bad 7% (7)
Better 56% (56)
 | Bad - 7% (7)
Better - 50% (50) | Bad - 7.6% (5) | Bad - 3.5% (3) |
| (41) Better – 44.0% (75) (53) Same – 52.4% (88) (27) Worse – 3% (5) segment of the eDocover yood Same – 50% (76) (44) Higher – 50% (84) (50) Same – 41.7% (70) (40) Linger – 50% (84) (50) Same – 41.7% (70) (27) Lower – 8.3% (14) alter accounting for all expenses alter accounting for all expenses | Better - 49.8% (56)
Same - 46.1% (51)
Worse - 5.3% (6)
Instem will be six months from n
Higher - 59.3% (67)
Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)
ow?

 | Same - 49% (51)
 | Same - 49.5% (50) | Same - 41% (41)
 | | | Better - 50% (33) | |
| (41) Better – 44.0% (75) (53) Same – 52.4% (88) (27) Worse – 3% (5) segment of the eDocover yood Same – 50% (76) (44) Higher – 50% (84) (50) Same – 41.7% (70) (40) Linger – 50% (84) (50) Same – 41.7% (70) (27) Lower – 8.3% (14) alter accounting for all expenses alter accounting for all expenses | Better - 49.8% (56)
Same - 46.1% (51)
Worse - 5.3% (6)
Instem will be six months from n
Higher - 59.3% (67)
Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)
ow?

 | Same - 49% (51)
 | Same - 49.5% (50) | Same - 41% (41)
 | | | Better - 50% (33) | |
| % (33) Same - 52.4% (88) Worse - 3% (5) Worse - 3% (5) segment 01 the sDiscovery account Same - 41% (80) % (00) Same - 41.7% (70) (22) Lower - 8.3% (14) segment 01 the sDiscovery account scovering for all expenses | Same - 45.1% (51)
Worse - 5.3% (6)
retern will be six months from r
Higher - 59.3% (67)
Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Same - 56% (56)
Worse - 4% (4)
ow?

 | Same - 49% (51)
 | Same - 49.5% (50) | Same - 41% (41)
 | | | | Better - 44.7% (3 |
| 7% (44) Higher - 50% (84) % (30) Same - 41.7% (70) (2) Lower - 8.3% (14) segment of the eDiscovery ecosys after accounting for all expenses | Same - 35.4% (40)
 | ow?
Higher 52% (52)

 |
 | | - FUT UN = 470 [4]
 | Worse - 5% (5) | Same - 44% (44)
Worse - 6% (6) | Same - 45.5% (30)
Worse - 4.5% (3) | Same -51.8% (44
Worse - 3.5% (3) |
| 7% (44) Higher - 50% (84) % (30) Same - 41.7% (70) (2) Lower - 8.3% (14) segment of the eDiscovery ecosys after accounting for all expenses | Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Higher – 52% (52)

 |
 | |
 | | | | |
| % (30) Same - 41.7% (70) (2) Lower - 8.3% (14) segment of the eDiscovery ecosys after accounting for all expenses | Same - 35.4% (40)
 | Higher - 52% (52)

 |
 | |
 | | | | |
| (2) Lower - 8.3% (14)
regment of the eDiscovery ecosys
after accounting for all expenses |
 | Same - 38% (38)

 | Higher - 59.6% (62)
Same - 33.7% (35)
 | Higher - 50.5% (51)
Same - 43.6% (44) | Higher - 56% (56)
Same - 39% (39)
 | Higher - 55% (55)
Same - 38% (38) | Higher - 59% (59)
Same - 37% (37) | Higher - 57.6% (38)
Same - 34.8% (23) | Higher - 57.6% (4
Same - 36.5% (3 |
| after accounting for all expenses |
 | Lower - 10%

 | Lower = 6.7% (7)
 | Lower = 5.9% (6) | Lower - 5% (5)
 | Lower - 7% (7) | Lower - 4% (4) | Lower - 7.6% (5) | Lower - 5.9% (5) |
| 5 (39) Same - 47% (79) | em will be six months from no
debts, additional revenue stre
 | v?
ams, and operating costs.

 |
 | | 1
 | n. | P. | n. | 1 |
| | Same - 47.8% (54)
 | Same - 47% (47)

 | Same - 43.3% (45)
 | Same - 49.5% (50) | Same - 44% (44)
 | Same - 38% (38) | Same - 33% (33) | Same - 42.4% (28) | Same - 45.9% (3 |
| % (30) Higher - 38.7% (65)
(7) Lower - 14.3% (24) | Higher - 41.6% (47)
Lower - 10.6% (12)
 | Higher - 39% (39)
Lower - 14% (14)

 | Higher - 50% (52)
Lower - 6.7% (7)
 | Higher - 39.6% (40)
Lower - 10.9% (11) | Higher - 45% (45)
Lower - 11% (11)
 | Higher – 45% (45)
Lower – 17% (17) | Higher - 53% (53)
Lower - 14% (14) | Higher - 45.5% (30)
Lower - 12.1% (8) | Higher - 41.2% (
Lower - 12.9% (1 |
| t is the issue that you feel will mo | st impact the business of eDisc
 | overy over the next six mor

 | iths?
 | |
 | | | | |
| business performance of your org | anization.
 |

 | Budgaton Court
 | Budentine Court | Burlouters Court
 | Budgaton Court | Burloaten Court | Budgatese Course | Budgetary Constr |
| 28% (47) | 24.8% (28)
 | 26% (26)

 | 21.2% (22)
 | 20.8% (21) | 31% (31)
 | 24% (24) | 22% (22) | 22.7% (15) | 14.1% (12) |
| (20) Data - 28.6% (48) | Data - 33.6% (38)
 | Data - 26% (26)

 | Data - 25% (26)
 | Data - 20.8% (21) | Data - 24% (24)
 | Data - 30% (30) | Data - 21% (21) | Data - 16.7% (11) | Increasing Volum
Data – 18.8% (16 |
| (12)
nnel – Lack of Personnel – | (11)
Lack of Personnel – 9.75
 | Lack of Personnel – 8%

 | (17)
Lack of Personnel –
 | (12)
Lack of Personnel – | Lack of Personnel - 11%
 | Lack of Personnel – 9% | Lack of Personnel – 11% | (13)
Lack of Personnel – | Data Security – 1
(13)
Lack of Personne |
| pes of Data Increasing Types of
- 10.1% (17) | (11)
Data Increasing Types of Data
- 15.9% (18)
 | (8)
Increasing Types of Data
- 17% (17)

 | 20.2% (21)
Increasing Types of Data
- 10.6% (11)
 | 14.9% (15)
Increasing Types of Data
- 21.7% (22) | (11)
Increasing Types of Data
- 11% (11)
 | (9)
Increasing Types of Data
- 16% (16) | (11)
Increasing Types of Data
- 16% (16) | 13.6% (9)
Increasing Types of Data
- 21.2% (14) | 17.6% (15)
Increasing Types
- 20.0% (17) |
| echnology – Inadequate Technoli
11.9% (20) | ogy – Inadequate Technology
6.2% (7)
 | Inadequate Technology –
8% (8)

 | Inadequate Technology –
6.7% (7)
 | Inadequate Technology –
9.9% (10) | Inadequate Technology -
10% (10)
 | Inadequate Technology -
8% (8) | Inadequate Technology -
11% (11) | Inadequate Technology –
6.1% (4) | Inadequate Techr
14.1% (12) |
| |
 |

 |
 | |
 | | | | |
| nimarily conduct eDiscovery-relate | d business?
 | 1

 |
 | 1 |
 | | | | |
| |
 | North America (United
Statue) - 95% (96)

 | North America (United
States) - 92 7% (97)
 | North America (United
States) - 99 (% (89) | North America (United
States) - 90.055 (89)
 | North America (United
States) - 92 0% (92) | North America (United
States) - 81 (% (81) | North America (United
States) - 82 25 (55) | North America (U
States) – 80.0% (|
| (100) | North America (Canada)
 | North America (Canada)

 | North America (Canada)
 | North America (Canada) | North America (Canada)
 | North America (Canada) | North America (Canada) | North America (Canada) | North America (C
- 8.2% (7) |
| % (5) Europe – 3.6% (6) | Europe - 4.4% (5)
 | Europe (UK) - 5% (5)
Europe (Non-UK) - 2%

 | Europe (UK) - 2.9% (3)
 | Europe (UK) - 4% (4) | Europe (UK) - 3% (3)
 | Europe (UK) - 3% (3) | Europe (UK) - 6% (6) | Europe (UK) - 4.5% (3) | Europe (UK) – 4.3
Europe (Non-UK) |
| ific – 2.6% Asia/Asia Pacific – 1
(2) | 2% Asia/Asia Pacific - 0%
(0)
 | (2)
Asia/Asia Pacific - 2%
(2)

 | (1)
Asia/Asia Pacific – 1%
(1)
 | (3)
Asia/Asia Pacific – 0%
(0) | (3)
Asia/Asia Pacific - 1%
(1)
 | (3)
Asia/Asia Pacific - 1%
(1) | (3)
Asia/Asia Pacific – 6%
(6) | (1)
Asia/Asia Pacific - 6.1%
(4) | (2)
Asia/Asia Pacific -
(4) |
| America - Central/South Ameri
0% (0) | ca - Central/South America -
0.9% (1)
 | Central/South America -
0% (0)

 | Central/South America -
0% (0)
 | Central/South America - 0% (0) | Central/South America - 0% (0)
 | Central/South America -
0% (0) | Central/South America -
1% (1) | Central/South America -
0% (0) | Central/South Am
0% (0) |
| (0) Middle East/Amca - | (0) Middle East/Africa – 0%
 | (0)

 | (0)
 | (0) | (0)
 | (0) | (0) | (0) | Middle East/Africa
(0) |
| function in the conduct of your or | ganization's eDiscovery-related
 | business?

 |
 | |
 | | | | |
| n Support – Legal/Litigation Sup
76.8% (129) | ort - Legal/Litigation Support
78.8% (89)
 | Legal/Litigation Support -
79% (79)

 | Legal/Litigation Support -
76% (79)
 | Legal/Litigation Support -
74.2% (75) | Legal/Litigation Support -
69% (69)
 | Legal/Litigation Support -
58% (59) | Legal/Litigation Support -
69% (69) | Legal/Litigation Support -
75.7% (50) | Legal/Litigation Se
76.5% (65) |
| iness Business/Business
Other Support (All Other | Business/Business
Support (All Other
 | Business/Business
Support (All Other

 | Business/Business
Support (All Other
 | Business/Business
Support (All Other | Business/Business
Support (All Other
 | Business/Business
Support (All Other | Business/Business
Support (All Other | Business/Business
Support (All Other | Business/Busines
Support (All Other |
| 17.9% (30) | 16.8% (19)
 | 18% (18)

 | 18.3% (19)
 | 21.8% (22) | 26% (26)
 | 30% (30) | 23% (23) | 18.2% (12) | Business Function
17.6% (15) |
| - 5.4% (9) | - 4.4% (5)
 | - 3% (3)

 | - 5.8% (6)
 | - 4% (4) | - 5% (5)
 | - 11% (11) | - 8% (8) | - 6.1% (4) | - 5.9% (5) |
| execution the eventuation | snirston's - News
 | hueinaze2

 |
 | |
 | | | | |
| adership - Executive Leadershi | p - Executive Leadership -
 | Executive Leadership -

 | Executive Leadership
 | Executive Leadership - | Executive Leadership -
 | Executive Leadership - | Executive Leadership - | Executive Leadership - | Executive Leaders |
| 34.5% (58) | 26.5% (30)
 | 38% (38)

 | 44.2% (46)
 | 41.6% (42) | 48% (48)
 | 52% (52) | 35% (35) | 45.4% (30) | 41.2% (35)
Operational Mana |
| 34.5% (58) | 38.9% (44)
 | 39% (39)

 | 27.9% (29)
 | 34.6% (35) | 36% (36)
 | 25% (25) | 34% (34) | 27.3% (18) | 30.6% (26) |
| (52) | 31% Tachcal Execution -
34.5% (39)
 | (23)

 | 27.9% (29)
 | 23.8% (24) | (16)
 | (23) | (31) | 27.3% (18) | 28.2% (24) |
| I |
 |

 |
 | |
 | ļ | l | ļ | |
| | buildings performance of your org human performance of your org increasing Volumes. 20/2(stary Constant 20/2(start) 20/2(start) <td< td=""><td>butters performed of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. <td>bases performance of year organization. Biologistry Constraints <t< td=""><td>Summaries performance of your organization. Budgetary Constraints Budgetary Co</td><td>Standard Processing Volume of Constrainty - Standard Processing Volumes of Data - Sta</td><td>Description Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of
Control Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of</td><td>Statuse performance of your operators: Budgetay Constraints Budgetay Constraints</td><td>Number professional constraints Budgetty Constraints <t< td=""><td>Name Bodyney Constantin Bodyney Constantin</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></td<> | butters performed of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of the person of your argumation. initiation of your argumation. <td>bases performance of year organization. Biologistry Constraints <t< td=""><td>Summaries performance of your organization. Budgetary Constraints Budgetary Co</td><td>Standard Processing Volume of Constrainty - Standard Processing Volumes of Data - Sta</td><td>Description Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of
Control Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of</td><td>Statuse performance of your operators: Budgetay Constraints Budgetay Constraints</td><td>Number professional constraints Budgetty Constraints <t< td=""><td>Name Bodyney Constantin Bodyney Constantin</td></t<></td></t<></td> | bases performance of year organization. Biologistry Constraints Biologistry Constraints <t< td=""><td>Summaries performance of your organization. Budgetary Constraints Budgetary Co</td><td>Standard Processing Volume of Constrainty - Standard Processing Volumes of Data - Sta</td><td>Description Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of
Control Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of</td><td>Statuse performance of your operators: Budgetay Constraints Budgetay Constraints</td><td>Number professional constraints Budgetty Constraints <t< td=""><td>Name Bodyney Constantin Bodyney Constantin</td></t<></td></t<> | Summaries performance of your organization. Budgetary Constraints Budgetary Co | Standard Processing Volume of Constrainty - Standard Processing Volumes of Data - Sta | Description Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of
Control Standard Processing Volumes of
Lange of | Statuse performance of your operators: Budgetay Constraints Budgetay Constraints | Number professional constraints Budgetty Constraints <t< td=""><td>Name Bodyney Constantin Bodyney Constantin</td></t<> | Name Bodyney Constantin |

Complex